	K9UYSSEPC:20-cr-00473-RA DocuMEMOTES VERECUL	DE2B/720NEPage 1 of 12 1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
4	v.	20 cr 473 (VM)	
5	SAIBO SIDIBEH,		
6	Defendant.		
7	x	Conference	
8		New York, N.Y. September 30, 2020	
9		12:00 p.m.	
10	Before:		
11	HON. VICTOR MARRERO,		
12		District Judge	
13	APPEARANCES		
14	AUDREY STRAUSS		
15	Acting United States Attorney for Southern District of New York	the	
16	BY: ANDREW ROHRBACH Assistant United States Attorney		
17	DAVID E. PATTON		
18	Federal Defenders of New York, Inc. Attorney for Defendant	e .	
19	BY: ARIEL WERNER Assistant Federal Defender		
20	ROTHMAN, SCHNEIDER, SOLOWAY & STERN's,	IID	
21	Attorneys for Defendant Fall BY: JEREMY SCHNEIDER		
22			
23	LAZZARO & GREGORY P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Danfakha		
24	BY: JAMES ANDREW KILDUFF		
25	EVANS D. PRIESTON Attorney for Defendant Diallo		

1	THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Victor		
2	Marrero. This is a proceeding in the matter of United States		
3	v. Sidibeh and others.		
4	Will counsel please enter your appearances for the		
5	record.		
6	MR. ROHRBACH: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is		
7	Andrew Rohrbach for the government.		
8	MS. WERNER: Good morning, your Honor. Federal		
9	Defenders by Ariel Werner on behalf of Saibo Sidibeh.		
10	MR. PRIESTON: Evans Prieston on behalf of Mamadou		
11	Diallo.		
12	MR. KILDUFF: James Kilduff appearing on behalf of		
13	Mamady Danfakha.		
14	MR. SCHNEIDER: Jeremy Schneider good afternoon,		
15	your Honor for Moukhamede Fall.		
16	MR. KOCH: Good morning, your honor. Lee Koch for		
17	Demba Diakite,		
18	MR. PALMA: Your Honor, for Abubackr Danso, Richard		
19	Palma. Mr. Danso is participating in this call by his		
20	telephone at a different location.		
21	MR. MURRAY: Good morning, your Honor. Good morning,		
22	counsel. Declan Murray of the Dawn Florio Law Firm appearing		
23	on behalf of attorney of record, Dawn M. Florio, for		
24	Mr. Mohammed Sabaly who is also on this call via telephone.		

MR. BURKE: Good afternoon, Judge. For Bangaly

25

1 Doumbia, John Burke.

2.3

THE COURT: Anyone else? Anyone who has just joined?

DEFENDANT DANFAKHA: Mamady Danfakha.

THE COURT: Let me come back and indicate that this proceeding is taking place by teleconference. Let me acknowledge that defendants have a right to appear in person at these proceedings.

But under the Cares Act and the standing orders issued in this district by the chief judge in recognition of a coronavirus pandemic, we are authorized to proceed by teleconference with these proceedings, if the Court finds that either in person or video conference is not reasonably feasible at this time, given the pandemic. The Court in this case so finds.

The district's ability to conduct these proceedings, either in person or by video conference, is still limited, and teleconference is much more available and feasible.

Let me also acknowledge that in order to proceed by these means, it is necessary for us to confirm on the record that the defendants consent to proceeding in this manner by these means.

So I would like to ask counsel on behalf of each of the defendants to indicate whether you have discussed this matter with your client and whether your clients have consented to appearing in this proceeding via teleconference.

2.3

MS. WERNER: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: Did you just join the conference?

MS. WERNER: No. I was beginning to answer your question, perhaps prematurely.

THE COURT: I'm done. If you would just perhaps go, if possible, in the order in which you made your appearances. If not, just go one at a time in the same manner in which you made your appearances.

MS. WERNER: Yes, your Honor. Ariel Werner for Mr. Saibo Sidibeh. Mr. Sidibeh consents to this hearing being conducted by teleconference. He also waives his right to appear today. We filled out a written waiver of his appearance for this conference, which I've provided to your Honor's chambers yesterday.

THE COURT: All right. Let me facilitate things, and I will call upon the counsel of record for the defendants -- or call upon the defendant, and then counsel of record can then respond to the question.

For Mr. Fall?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jeremy Schneider for Mr. Fall. Yes, your Honor. I have spoken with Mr. Fall. I informed him of his right to be present, either in person or by telephone. He waives his right to appear in person, and he also waives his right to have to be appearing at

this telephone conference, and he authorized me to appear on his behalf. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Danfakha.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

line.

Mr. Danfakha is on the line, Judge. He does waive his right to appear in person. The Court can inquire of Mr. Danfakha himself. We have discussed it. It's my understanding that he has agreed to appear by telephone conference, but he is on the

MR. KILDUFF: James Kilduff for Mr. Danfakha.

THE COURT: Mr. Danfakha, would you confirm that you have discussed this matter with counsel and that you consent to appearing in this proceeding by teleconference.

DEFENDANT DANFAKHA: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hydara.

MR. ROHRBACH: Your Honor, this is Andrew Rohrbach for the government. Mr. Hydara is not attending the conference today. There is currently a bench warrant for his arrest.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Diallo.

MR. PRIESTON: Yes, your Honor. Evans Prieston for Mr. Diallo. Mr. Diallo is here in my office. I have discussed with him his right to be present and to proceed by teleconference.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Diakite. 2 MR. KOCH: This is Lee Koch, attorney for Mr. Diakite. 3 He understands that he can be present. He is present on the 4 line and ready to go. 5 THE COURT: Has he consented to appearing by teleconference? 6 7 MR. KOCH: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Mr. Sabaly. 10 MR. MURRAY: Declan Murray of the Dawn Florio Law Firm 11 for Mohammed Sabaly. Attorney Florio discussed his right to appear in person with him, and we consent to proceed via 12 13 teleconference. It is my understanding that Mr. Sabaly is on 14 this call as well. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 Mr. Doumbia. 17 MR. BURKE: John Burke for Mr. Doumbia. We discussed 18 it, Judge. We've discussed his right to be physically present. 19 He wants to proceed by teleconference. I believe he's on the 20 call here. I discussed this with him earlier today, and he 21 wants to proceed by telephone. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Danso. 2.3 Richard Palma for Mr. Danso. Mr. Danso MR. PALMA: 24 and I have discussed his right to be present for a court

proceeding not only today, but we've done it in the past for

25

1 | the other proceeding.

2.3

He's fully aware of that right. He waives his right to be physically present at the hearing and agrees to participate by teleconference, which he is doing at this moment. He's present on the line, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I thank you very much.

Let me come back to a very crucial housekeeping matter which I omitted to refer to before.

Do we have a court reporter on the line?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Let us proceed. This is the first appearance by the defendants before the Court following their arraignment on the underlying charges in the indictment.

Would the government please inform the Court and the defendants with respect to discovery, schedules, and any other matters that the government wishes to bring to the Court's attention at this time.

MR. ROHRBACH: This is Andrew Rohrbach for the government.

The parties are currently discussing a protective order in this case. The categories of discovery we expect are primarily bank records and other records from financial institutions which will include surveillance images and videos, as well as ESI evidence that relates to social media accounts.

The discovery is somewhat voluminous, but we expect to be able to produce it fairly quickly following entry of a protective order, assuming the parties are able to reach an agreement.

The parties have not discussed a discovery schedule, but the government would ask for three weeks in order to conclude the conversations about the protective order and then to make the production.

THE COURT: All right. The government requests three weeks in order to conclude as much discovery as it has at the moment.

Assuming three weeks for completion of that exchange, production of discovery, have the defendants discussed the matter and reached a consensus on how much time you would need in order to review and be prepared for a next status conference?

MR. SCHNEIDER: Your Honor, this is Jeremy Schneider for Mr. Moukhamede Fall. While we haven't discussed it, I'm hoping that I can speak for all of us when I say that given the pandemic and the voluminous discovery, I think it would make sense for us to come back probably in the middle of January once we get the discovery because, as you well know, voluminous bank records and social media records take a long time to review.

So I would ask that we pick a status conference date

in mid January. At that time, I think we'll all be in a better position to tell you if we have been able to review all the discovery or if we need more time.

And if we have had a chance to review it, then we can tell you possibly if we have any motions. But I don't know that we would have enough time by then. But that's my request or suggestion, that we come back for a status conference in mid January.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.3

I will ask the clerk to look at the calendar and see the availability for a conference towards the latter part of January. We have a trial through the most of January. So the latter part may be more convenient.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. The trial is expected to continue through January 29, but we could tentatively schedule the conference for some time on that day.

THE COURT: Why don't we look at the first week of February, the first Friday in February instead.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Friday, February 5. We could do 11:00 a.m. or any time thereafter.

THE COURT: Let's aim for 11:00 on Friday, February 5.

Is the government able or have any difficulty with that date?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ ROHRBACH: The government is available, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Does that date pose any difficulties for any of the defense counsel?

I have not heard anything to the contrary.

Does the government have a motion on that schedule?

MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor. The government moves
to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act until that date so
the government may produce discovery and the defendants will
have an opportunity to review it and consider any motions they
should like to file.

THE COURT: All right. On the government's motion to exclude adjourned time from speedy trial calculations from today through the next conference date on February 5, 2021, is there any objection from any defendant? If you do not have an objection, you do not need to say anything.

Hearing none, I grant the government's motion. I find that the reasons conveyed to the Court warrant this exclusion of time as it is intended to ensure the effectiveness of counsel and to prevent any miscarriage of justice.

I find that the ends of justice served by the granting of this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. And I make these findings pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Speedy Trial Act.

Is there anything else from the government?

MR. ROHRBACH: Nothing from the government. Thank